1 2 3 4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE TRAINING COMMISSION IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 8 9 In re the Certification of NO. 20-820 10 **NINA JONES** STATEMENT OF CHARGES 11 Respondent. On or around October 7, 2020, NINA JONES, a certified peace officer with the 12 13 Seattle Police Department (SPD), was terminated from employment with SPD after: 14 (1) knowingly making false and/or misleading representations during an SPD investigation and in a court proceeding; and/or (2) engaging in conduct or a pattern of 15 conduct that failed to meet the ethical and professional standards required of a peace 16 officer and/or disrupted, diminished or otherwise jeopardized public trust or confidence 17 18 in the law enforcement profession. Such misconduct subjects JONES' peace officer 19 certification to discipline under RCW 43.101.105(2)(d), (3)(j)(iv), and/or (3)(k). The circumstances are as follows: 20 21 Count I 22 Between approximately October 16, 2018, and October 7, 2020, JONES knowingly made misleading, deceptive, untrue, or fraudulent representations in the 23 24 practice of being a peace officer. Specifically, JONES made false and/or misleading representations to investigators with the SPD Office of Police Accountability (OPA) 25 during two internal affairs investigations (No. 2018OPA-0698 and No. 2018OPA-0872) 26

1

1	and to the Snohomis
2	harassment order proce
3	Complainant" in the OF
4	concerned (1) her per
5	information about the
6	involving the Complaina
7	made by JONES' minor
8	JONES' minor child beg
9	Complainant and JONE
10	employees communica
11	addition, JONES knowi
12	OPA investigators during
13	by omitting the fact that
14	order against her. After
15	was terminated from er
16	peace officer certifica
17	RCW 43.101.105(2)(d).

and to the Snohomish County Cascade Division District Court during an antiharassment order proceeding brought by another SPD employee (referred to as "the Complainant" in the OPA investigation). These false and misleading representations concerned (1) her personal use of SPD's Mobile Data Terminal (MDT) to obtain information about the Complainant; (2) a Child Protective Services investigation involving the Complainant's and JONES' minor children; (3) the nature of statements made by JONES' minor child during a separate legal proceeding; (4) the date on which JONES' minor child began therapy; and/or (5) the nature of text messages between the Complainant and JONES. By doing so, JONES violated SPD's policy requiring that employees communicate truthfully and completely (SPD Policy No. 5.0001-11). In addition, JONES knowingly made further deceptive and misleading representations to OPA investigators during the course of internal affairs investigation No. 2018-OPA-0872 by omitting the fact that the Complainant successfully obtained an anti-harassment order against her. After making these false and/or misleading representations, JONES was terminated from employment with SPD on or around October 7, 2020. JONES' peace officer certification is therefore subject to mandatory revocation under

AND/OR

Count II 19

Between October 16, 2018, and October 7, 2020, JONES engaged in conduct that failed to meet the ethical and professional standards of a peace officer and/or jeopardized public trust in law enforcement when she engaged in the misconduct described in Count I. This misconduct subjects JONES' peace officer certification to discipline under RCW 43.101.105(3)(j)(iv).

AND/OR

26

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Count III

On multiple occasions between approximately October 3, 2017, and October 7, 2020, JONES engaged in conduct that failed to meet the ethical and professional standards of a peace officer and/or jeopardized public trust in law enforcement when she misused official law enforcement resources for personal gain. Specifically, JONES used SPD's MDT to obtain messages sent by the Complainant (including after being disciplined for doing so). This conduct violated SPD's policy prohibiting employees from using their position or authority for personal gain (SPD Policy No. 5.001-13) and SPD's policy governing employee professionalism (SPD Policy No. 5.001-10). In addition, JONES ran the Complainant's personal vehicle license plate through databases containing criminal and Department of Licensing information without an official law enforcement reason for doing so. This misconduct subjects JONES' peace officer certification to discipline under RCW 43.101.105(3)(j)(iv).

AND/OR

15 Count IV

On multiple occasions between approximately October 3, 2017, and October 20, 2020, JONES engaged in conduct that failed to meet the ethical and professional standards of a peace officer and/or jeopardized public trust in law enforcement by harassing the Complainant. The Snohomish County Cascade Division District Court found that JONES filed unsubstantiated legal actions against the Complainant and entered an anti-harassment protection order against JONES on behalf of the Complainant. JONES' unprofessional conduct toward the Complainant violated SPD's professionalism policy (SPD Policy No. 5.001-10). This misconduct subjects JONES' peace officer certification to discipline under RCW 43.101.105(3)(j)(iv).

AND/OR

1	Count V
2	On or about October 20, 2020, JONES was terminated from employment with
3	SPD after engaging in the misconduct described in Counts I-IV. JONES' peace officer
4	certification is therefore subject to discipline under RCW 43.101.105(3)(k).
5	DATED this7 of May 2024.
6	
7	By: 1.1. By:
8	KIMBERLY A. BLISS
9	Assistant Director, Certification Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission
10	Washington State Chillinal Justice Training Commission
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	