



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (July 2022) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: April 27, 2023

TIME: 10:10 AM

WSR 23-10-028

Agency: Washington State Criminal Justice Training Commission

Original Notice

Supplemental Notice to WSR _____

Continuance of WSR _____

Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 23-04-053 ; or

Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or

Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or

Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) Medical examiner, coroner, and medicolegal investigator training and certification through the WSCJTC

Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
6/14/2023	10:00AM	19010 1 st Ave S Burien, WA 98148	Live streaming may not be available. Please come if you wish to attend the meeting or send an email for any public comments.

Date of intended adoption: 6/14/2023 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

Submit written comments to:

Name: Derek Zable

Address: 19010 1st Ave S Burien, WA 98148

Email: Derek.Zable@cjtc.wa.gov

Fax: N/A

Other:

By (date) 6/9/2023

Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Derek Zable

Phone: 206-793-6332

Fax: N/A

TTY: N/A

Email: Derek.Zable@cjtc.wa.gov

Other:

By (date) 6/9/2023

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Establishing rules to carry out RCW 43.101.480, regarding the training and certification of medical examiners, coroners, and other medicolegal investigators.

Reasons supporting proposal: To establish clear rules and requirements to receive or be exempted from training, and establishes the processes to receive certification and seek recertification.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 43.101.080 & RCW 43.101.480

Statute being implemented: RCW 43.101.480

Is rule necessary because of a:

Federal Law?

Yes No

Federal Court Decision?

Yes No

State Court Decision?

Yes No

If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

Type of proponent: Private Public Governmental

Name of proponent: (person or organization)

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting: Zable	Jennifer Pendray & Derek	19010 1 st Ave S Burien, WA 98148	206-571-2190
Implementation:	Jennifer Pendray	19010 1 st Ave S Burien, WA 98148	206-571-2190
Enforcement:	Jennifer Pendray	19010 1 st Ave S Burien, WA 98148	206-571-2190

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under [RCW 28A.305.135](#)? Yes No
If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

- Name:
- Address:
- Phone:
- Fax:
- TTY:
- Email:
- Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under [RCW 34.05.328](#)?

- Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:
 - Name:
 - Address:
 - Phone:
 - Fax:
 - TTY:
 - Email:
 - Other:
- No: Please explain:

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement

Note: The [Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance \(ORIA\)](#) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see [chapter 19.85 RCW](#)). For additional information on exemptions, consult the [exemption guide published by ORIA](#). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.061](#) because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by [RCW 34.05.313](#) before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of [RCW 15.65.570\(2\)](#) because it was adopted by a referendum.

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025\(3\)](#). Check all that apply:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)
(Internal government operations) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
(Dictated by statute) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)
(Incorporation by reference) | <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
(Set or adjust fees) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)
(Correct or clarify language) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) |

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under [RCW 19.85.025\(4\)](#) (does not affect small businesses).

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW _____.

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: The WACs only impact county governments and are regarding the requirements to become certified from the WSCJTC.

(2) Scope of exemptions: *Check one.*

- The rule proposal is fully exempt (*skip section 3*). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.
- The rule proposal is partially exempt (*complete section 3*). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using [this template from ORIA](#)):
- The rule proposal is not exempt (*complete section 3*). No exemptions were identified above.

(3) Small business economic impact statement: *Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.*

If any portion of the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

- No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. _____
- Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Date: 4/27/2023

Name: Derek Zable

Title: Records Manager

Signature:

