## **INDIVIDUAL PRESENTATION RUBRIC: The Role of the Supervisor**

| Task  | Not Achieved  | Achieved  | Superior  | Exemplary  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Demonstrate<br>understanding of the<br>supervisor's role                              | Presentation showed<br>limited understanding of<br>the supervisor's role. Few<br>facts, examples or details<br>were provided.   | Presentation evidenced<br>some understanding of the<br>supervisor's role. Most<br>aspects of the role were<br>addressed or all aspects<br>addressed in a limited<br>way.                          | Presentation showed good<br>understanding of the<br>supervisor's role.<br>Relevant facts, examples<br>and details were included.  | Presentation demonstrated<br>an excellent grasp of the<br>supervisor's role and an<br>ability to analyze it from a<br>'systems' perspective. It<br>was supported with<br>relevant facts, examples,<br>and details. |
| Demonstrate<br>presentation preparation<br>through research and<br>agency interviews. | Confusing or incomplete<br>facts combined with little<br>and/or no explanations led<br>to questions regarding<br>preparation of the<br>presentation. Little or no<br>research was evident.                  | Partially clear use of facts<br>and information with<br>some explanations to<br>support the presenter's<br>ideas was evident. Some<br>research appeared to have<br>been conducted.                | Clear use of facts and<br>information supported the<br>presenter's conclusions.<br>Research through reading<br>leadership articles or by<br>meeting with Department<br>managers was evident.                        | Clear and convincing<br>command of facts and<br>information with<br>insightful explanations<br>showed excellent<br>preparation and research.<br>Leadership interviews<br>were evident.                             |
| Demonstrate<br>organization of the<br>presentation.                                   | A lack of organization<br>made it difficult to follow<br>the speaker's ideas.<br>Presentation appeared to<br>ramble without logically<br>sequenced transitions<br>which made it difficult to<br>understand. | Presentation showed<br>adequate organization and<br>was relatively easy to<br>follow/understand. There<br>were some inconsistencies<br>in organization as<br>evidenced by awkward<br>transitions. | Presentation showed good<br>organization. Learning<br>points were well<br>sequenced. Transitions<br>flowed well and supported<br>the learning.  | Presentation was clearly<br>and logically organized<br>and sequenced, with<br>appropriate transitions and<br>conclusions. Relevant<br>examples were provided<br>to illustrate key learning<br>points.              |
| Demonstrate<br>professional<br>presentation delivery.                                 | Presenter's lack of<br>enthusiasm, eye contact,<br>clarity and projection of<br>voice, tone and pace,<br>and/or appropriate<br>gestures, made the<br>presentation difficult to<br>follow.                   | Presenter's enthusiasm<br>was adequate.<br>Inconsistent use of eye<br>contact, clarity and voice<br>projection, tone, pace and<br>or gestures were evident.                                       | A combination of<br>participant eye contact,<br>clarity and projection of<br>voice, tone, pace and<br>gestures were apparent but<br>not used consistently.<br>Presenter evidenced good<br>enthusiasm for the topic. | Presenter's enthusiasm<br>and language was<br>appropriate to the<br>audience. Appropriate eye<br>contact, clarity and<br>projection of voice, tone,<br>pace and gestures<br>enhanced the presentation.             |